PATENT COOPERATION TI ATY

NTE	RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY		PCT				
10.					101				
	see form I	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE					
	000 101111	01/10/11/20		INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22		10232-PCT	FOR FURTHER A					
	national application I	No.	International filing date (co. 03.02.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.02.2003				
Inter	national Patent Class	sification (IPC) or	Lboth national classification	and IPC					
C07	C2/64, C07C15/	107							
	icant								
SH	ELL OIL COMPA	MY							
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ons relating to the foll	lowing items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion						
	☑ Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III		nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited						
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	olication					
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application					
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION							
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For further optio	ns, see Form P0	OT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
			В	EST AVAILA	ABLE COPY				
	ne and mailing addre			Authorized Officer					

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kleidernigg, O

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2143



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/002956

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/002956

Box No. II Priority							
1. 🖾	The fo	ollowing document has not been furnished:					
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).					
		equently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has cheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
2. 🗆	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
. .	1-101	athere attend Williams					

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/002956

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
☒	claims Nos. 37						
because:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 37						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See separate sheet for further details						

BEST AVAILABLE CO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

lo: Claims

1-36

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

No international preliminary examination is carried out for claim 37, because no ISR has been established for claim 37 (Rule 66.1(e) PCT).

Re Item V.

D1: WO 02/44114 A (STEINBRENNER ULRICH; BASF AG (DE); KRACK

GERHARD (DE); NARBESHUBER TH) 6 June 2002 (2002-06-06)

D2: US 3 492 364 A (JONES WILLIAM A ET AL) 27 January 1970 (1970-01-27)

The present application is directed to a method for the production of branched alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons, whereby the educt used to alkylate the aromatic hydrocarbons is stemming from olefines and paraffins, which are reacted in a dehydrogenation-isomerization unit before the alkylation reaction.

D1 represents the closest prior art and differs from the subject matter of claim 1 insofar that either olefines or paraffins are isomerized and/or dehydrogenated, but not both olefines and paraffins are fed to the dehydrogenation-isomerization unit, consequently the subject matter of claim 1 is novel.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of a further process for the production of branched alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons.

The solution proposed in claim 1 is, however, not considered of involving an inventive step, because in D1 the alternatives of educts used to alkylate the aromatic hydrocarbons are already disclosed (cf. passages cited in the ISR). Moreover, the combination of olefines and paraffins as feed into a dehydrogenation-isomerization unit, whereby the individual feed of olefines or paraffins into dehydrogenation-isomerization units is disclosed in D1, cannot be regarded of involving an inventive step.

Dependent claims 2-36 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/002956

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-36 does not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.